NDSF Vehicle Debrief Interviews

Goals:

- Candid assessment of NDSF performance at sea from science user's point-of-view
- Confidentiality
- Way for DESSC to track problems and implementation of solutions
- Facilitates constructive communication between science users and NDSF

NDSF Vehicle Debrief Interviews

Standardized 10-point questionnaire:

- 1) Pre-cruise planning
- 2) Mobilization
- 3) Operations Vehicle
- 4) Operations NDSF provided equipment
- 5) Operations User provided equipment
- 6) NDSF Personnel Expedition Leader
- 7) NDSF Personnel Team as a whole
- 8) Data hand-over
- 9) Demobilization
- 10) Recommendations

NDSF Vehicle Debrief Interviews

- Chief Scientist interviewed by phone after each
 Alvin/Jason/ABE-Sentry cruise
- Chris German (CSDS) & one DESSC rep.
- Write-up agreed to by all participants, then archived at UNOLS for DESSC (not public)
- Summary of debriefs presented at next DESSC meeting (public, but comments anonymous)
- Opportunity for NDSF to respond
- Sensitive or confidential issues discussed in DESSC executive session (not public)

Jason debrief summaries - 12/07

Fisher	June 2007	Ron Brown	Gulf of Mexico
Rathburn	July 2007	Atlantis	Monterey, California
Chadwick/ Dilorio	Aug 2007	Atlantis	JdFR
Garcia	Sep 2007	Kilo Moana	Hawaii
Moyer	Oct 2007	Kilo Moana	Hawaii
Wooding	Nov 2007	Kilo Moana	Hawaii

1) 12-hour turn-around time between dives

- Current procedures optimal for long dives
- But some science users require short-turn arounds for time-sensitive sampling, or to visit many different dive sites
- Elevators can help, but it is not always possible to use them: in deep water, in bad weather, on some ships (Kilo Moana)
- For some users, this severely limits what science they can do (or get funded)
- Solution: re-thinking Jason watch schedules?

2) Air-weight limitation for Jason (& crane?)

- Currently limits how much science gear can be on the vehicle - especially biological samplers that hold water
- Can this be improved?

3) Catastrophic failure of Kilo Moana cable during testing

- How can this be prevented in the future?
- Do standard protocols exist for cable testing?
- Was the team at sea too inexperienced?

4) Kilo Moana Jason ops

- Can operate in rougher sea states, but...
- More limited number of berths for science
- Restrictions on elevator usage
- Will work for some cruises and not others
- 5) Pre-cruise planning could be improved
 - Equipment promised but not brought on board
 - Proactive about needs of inexperienced users
 - Expedition leader should participate

- 6) Equipment issues:
 - Kraft arm
 - Science video camera position and lighting
 - Digital still camera results mixed

DESSC December 2007

Jason Debrief Issues - 12/07

• Turnaround Time

- NDSF published document defining turn around time policy (on web)
 - Current model provides flexibility at the discretion of the EL
 - Anticipate incremental improvements, including:
 - Considering 12-hour watch, 2 operators vs. 3, will impact product
 - Input from other ROV operators
 - Better use of elevators (on a wire, guided, improved transfer capabilities)
 - Developing ways to move biological samples better
 - Launch/recovery manpower issues

• Air Weight Limitations

- Looking at alternate crane and base again
 - Bigger crane is a difficult, expensive solution due to ship deck strength and space constraints
- Looking at ways to reduce air weight of Jason
- Better use of elevators can help

• Cable Failure







- **Pre-cruise planning** to include CSDS, EL and ROV manager
- All **equipment** requests must be in writing unless specifically identified as standard. Science-provided equipment must be identified. Web-based template needs to be used. We are updating our web forms.
- EL/PI need to communicate daily during cruise to facilitate common goals
- EL needs to lead the group and exhibit a positive attitude
- We will continue to field the **most qualified team** available
- The **PIs need better communication** among themselves, especially on multi-PI cruises
- Cameras and lighting
 - Building a new mount to move the P&T to the light bar for some circumstances
 - Purchased one new HMI light head to add to existing spares
 - Continuing with proposed upgrade to the camera systems as outlined by Bill Lange







• The **data set** is delivered at the end of the cruise to the Chief Sci or designee. Since there has been confusion, we'll institute a sign off. The Chief Sci of record must take the data or sign off for the designee to take it.

• Equipment repairs performed as needed - no overhaul period required

- Kraft arm is going to manufacturer for overhaul and upgrade of jaw closure from 200 lb to 1,000 lb. Ready by start of '08 ops.
- Schilling arm: \$58k for overhaul is too much short term; purchasing more spares
- Long term, a new manipulator system to be requested in '08 budget
- Vehicle weight reduction exercise to commence this winter
- Effer crane maintenance: spares, paint, etc.
- Sharps to be upgraded this winter
- SM2K currently being assessed to determine if it needs to be sent to manufacturer for repair
- 300 kHz Doppler has one dead beam after recent overhaul by manufacturer. This model Doppler may have design flaws.
- Considering LED lighting for *Jason* based on HROV tests







Fiber Optic Cable Failure

- Jason system has experienced two independent cable failures
 - UNOLS Pool Winch in 2006
 - University of Hawaii RV Kilo Moana 2007
- 2006 failure analysis was inconclusive but likely explanation is thought to be to either a manufacturing or cable heating issue
- 2007 failure is currently being analyzed by three parties:
 - The Rochester Corporation
 - Tension Member Technologies
 - Cable Testing Labs
- Extensive WHOI re-examination/testing of the *Jason* power system has not uncovered anything unusual
- In addition to the physical analysis of the failed cable samples, WHOI is seeking outside consultation on vehicle power system





