Three critical concerns for marine seismics with portable systems

- Source strength and tuning
- Streamer length
- 2D vs. 3D

Airgun Source



time (ms)





Langseth 4 string 36 gun 2D source array

total volume 6600 cu. in.

Bubble pulse effects and removal



Large, tuned array is critical for seismic imaging and OBSs

- Large signal (peak-to-peak amplitude) requires large number of guns
- Tuning requires large number of guns with various sizes

(with some consideration of their location within the array)

Long offsets (large incidence angles)



- More traces for stacking (signal improvement ∝ sqrt (n))
- Velocity control
- Multiple suppression
- Amplitude with offset (angle) and rock properties



Velocity is a function of signal arrival time and source-receiver offset

Velocity Control



Signal arrival time is inherently uncertain, which propagates to seismic velocity



Long source-receiver offsets record rays that travel through more of the medium.



Reflections recorded at longer offsets can constrain traveltime curves that cannot be constrained at short offsets.



Long offsets constrain the traveltime curve to narrower limits than short offsets thereby also constraining velocity.

Velocity Control for Imaging



Velocities are critical for imaging, especially with large lateral velocity variations that require prestack depth migration.



Velocity Control for Interpretation











Multiples produce similar traveltime curves delayed relative to the primary.



Multiples frequently interfere with primary arrivals.



The arrival times of multiples that differ little at short offsets, separate at far offsets.

Multiples can be removed with partial loss of the primary.

AVO and rock properties

Sediment properties from long offset streamers

From Will Fortin, U. Wyoming

Physics requires long streamers for:

- Velocity control
 - imaging (normal moveout, stacking and migration, or prestack migration)
 - rock property information (V_p)
- Effective multiple suppression
- Extracting rock property information by exploiting AVO (V_p, V_s, density)

3D vs. 2D

- Improves imaging (especially in structurally complex settings)
- Ability to map structures in their true 3D geometry

2D Imaging

On a single streamer, seismic signals reflected from an irregular surface will contain out-of-plane arrivals and miss other scattered energy.

3D Improves Illumination which Improves Imaging

Multiple streamers receive seismic reflections the scattered energy that can be used to image the subsurface more completely.

2D

Acquired by Fugro in ~2000 6000 m 480-channel streamer 4240 cu. in airgun array

3D

Acquired by PGS in 2006 4500 m 360-channel streamer 3090 cu. in airgun array

3D Structural Interpretation

Can we maintain Langseth capabilities with a portable system?

Can we compromise on any of these capabilities and achieve our science goals?

Langseth Capabilities and Science Goals

- How did Langseth capabilities enable us to achieve science goals?
- How will Langseth capabilities enable us to achieve future science goals?

2D vs 3D

- Imaging of complex structures
- Interpreting true 3D geometry and mapping in 3 dimensions

Would losing the

- large, well-tuned source
- long streamer
- 3D imaging

impact our ability to address important future science questions?

Multiples can be removed with partial loss of the primary.

V = 2000 m/s

3D vs 2D Imaging

2D

3D Seismic Imaging By Biondo Biondi

