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This assessment of the research cruise you just participated in is part of a program to evaluate how well vessels and personnel of the academic research fleet are supporting the scientific objectives of the research community, and to identify areas that may need better support or guidance to improve the success of future projects. We do not require that you rate yourself while filling out this form, but allow you the opportunity to make comments if you wish.

Information provided in this form will be used by:

- Operating Institutions, Ship's Crew, and Technical Support Personnel  To make improvements to equipment and procedures on their vessels. UNOLS Office  To track the overall performance of the academic research fleet.
- Funding Agencies  To assess areas that require more attention.
- Yourself  To make constructive suggestions for improvement that will benefit future research projects for yourself and your colleagues and to let ship operators know what they are doing well.
• Can be integrated with the STRS to prefill relevant fields
- Can be filled out on your computer, tablet, or phone

To what extent were the planned science objectives of this cruise met?

91-100%  81-90%  71-80%  61-70%  60% or Below  N/A

Please provide a BRIEF, 1-2 sentence description of the science objectives for this cruise (ie. CTD casts, survey transects, mooring deployment, etc.). Please specify how the service and support of the ship contributed to the factors that affected the completion of the science objectives, especially if not all of the objectives were met (ie. weather, equipment failure, etc.).

Rate how well the scheduling of this cruise supported achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise (appropriate ship, year, season & dates, communications regarding schedules, online systems and scheduling process).

Excellent  Very Good
• Returns data in filterable, searchable texts, graphs, and tables
• Returns data in filterable, searchable texts, graphs, and tables