



University-National Oceanographic Laboratory System ~UNOLS~

Post Cruise Assessment Committee Report Council Mtg- Nov 29, 2017





Post Cruise Assessment Report (PCAR) Members

Ben Van Mooy/WHOI

Senior Scientist- Dept. of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry

bvanmooy@whoi.edu

Brandi Murphy/UW

Marine Technician at the Univ. of Washington

branmu@uw.edu

John Bichy/SKIO

Marine Superintendent at Skidaway Institute of
Oceanography

John.Bichy@skio.uga.edu



2017 Response Rate thus far: *(As of September 2017)*

- Captains: 48.9 %
- Chief Scientists: 50.8 %
- Marine Techs; 17.5 %

Previous Years Response Rate:

2014 Ch. Scientists: 64.97% Captain: 77.07% Techs: 31.21%	2015 Ch. Scientists: 62.09% Captain: 74.18% Techs: 29.41%	2016 Ch. Scientists: 75.95% Captain: 73.88% Techs: 45.70%
---	---	---



PCAR Revamp Project Steps

Outside Professional Advice

- September 2017 Meeting:
Division of Student Learning, Outcomes Assessment and Accreditation-Office for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning- University of Rhode Island
- Collected Fresh Set of Feedback from Federal Agencies -
- Revisit what feedback is needed by our federal agency sponsors so as to ask a question that generate useful responses & data points.
- Collected feedback from UNOLS Marine Superintendents and R/V Technicians



Steps taken: Revisited Common Themes

- Captains & Techs don't feel it is appropriate to "rate their customers. (Rate all Excellent, Jeopardize Relationships)
- Still a question on who receives them
- Feel the current form for techs is not productive feedback
- Understanding that PCARS can identify equipment that is needed.
- Response rate is low, quality of responses is lacking and timeliness of submitting form is poor.



Steps Taken: Going Forward

- PCAR Committee to meet in the near future
- Consider 2 separate forms- 1 for Chief Scientists and 1 for Captains and Technicians
- Consider hiring an outside firm that specializes in developing surveys
- Consider a time limit to insure “fresh data”
- Clearly explain distribution of completed form- Maintaining as much confidentiality as possible. Revisit perception of privacy
- Explain what the value-added of the Post Cruise Assessment is. What purpose does the PCAR serve?
- Carefully construct the question so that the results/answers are useful to correcting or continuing a practice that is in place.



Steps Taken: Going Forward

- Work to streamline the data, so that it is useful feedback, easy data to interpret, and less cumbersome to report out on
- Feedback Loop to track issues and how they are resolved
- Revisit the current 10 point range of how successful in meeting cruise objectives. Is it too broad ?
- Maintain a consistent framework/groupings of questions being asked
- Incentivize- Create a reward mechanism to encourage completion of the assessment
- Use commercial software such as Google Docs or Qualtrics