



- Last DeSSC Meeting
  - Described NLF award for Data Convergence & Telepresence
  - Described experiences with strawman systems on Sally Ride SVC
- Analysis of those experiences
- Status of telepresence study





- Discussed common products and delivery mechanism
  - Prototype use of OpenVDM, Data Management/ Access infrastructure
- Despite outstanding support from Capable Solutions, concluded that OpenVDM was not really addressing the challenge we face
  - Our challenge is making access to our data—both time series/video/samples--for analysis simpler and more aligned.
  - Current focus is on using event logger/frame grabber/ VV for data and metadata generation and access, tie to imagery
  - Extension of event logger to *Alvin/Sentry*
- Expect serious effort to begin late summer





- "Telepresence Use for Operational/Engineering Purposes"
  - Emphasis on telecommunications vice telepresence
- Motivation: reduction in at-sea manpower
  - Berth space issues
- Willis Group engaged to perform study/analysis
- Multiple draft reports received/revised
- Expect final delivery in immediate future, pending WHOI review and collaborative effort on recommendations with DeSSC Subcommittee on telepresence





## **Preliminary Findings**

- No large capital investment necessary to support high bandwidth telecommunication functions on UNOLS vessels
  - Primarily bandwidth costs
  - Some equipment standardization and "capital" expenditures on software/techniques could dispel some of the nagging bandwidth fears we all have
- Low hanging fruit is shoreside data processing for *Jason*, which could save a berth.
  - On Alvin & Sentry could extend capabilities without berth space savings
  - Serious constraints imposed by launch/recovery and other "gotta be there" needs
  - Vehicle watchstanding, real-time capabilities not seen as practical by vehicle managers and others





- Development of extensive cost/benefit model
  - Evaluates financial impact of scenarios
  - Compares costs of shipboard technical support to shoreside support
  - Full evaluation of bandwidth costs/satellite technologies
  - Includes capitol investments, travel, operational cycles
  - Allowance for capitol investments and continuing costs over 24 years
  - Should be of benefit to Telepresence-Enabled Science
    Committee
- Five scenarios developed and presented in the report





- Scenarios
  - Jason data processing ashore—WHOI employee replaced
  - Jason data processing ashore—contractor replaced
  - Alvin w/ data processing ashore
  - Jason w/ engineer (WHOI) left ashore
  - Jason data processing ashore w/ shoreside monitoring/situational awareness
- Intent is not that these are complete but that they are examples
- Model will be available for use by others, in particular, DeSSC subcommittee



## *NDSF Video & Data Management* Engineering Use <u>of Telepresence</u>

III      HOME      INSERT      PAGE LAVOUT      FORMULAS      DATA      REVIEW      VIEW      ADD-INS      TEAM      170531_Cost_Ben_Calculator - Excel																		? 🖛		× Sign i															
	🔏 Cut	Arial	- 10	· A A	= =	89	P Wrap Text	G	eneral	×		Nor	mal 3	Normal 4	Norm	nal_Equi	Percent 2	Norm	al	•	<b>&gt;</b>	Σ AutoS	um * 🗛 🔻	A											
Paste	🛅 Copy 👻 💞 Format Paint	ter B I U	-	<u>ð</u> - <u>A</u> -	= = =	€E 4E	🔛 Merge & (	Center - \$	· % •	•0 .00 Cor	ditional Forr	mat as Bad		Good	Neut	tral	Calculatio	n Cheo	k Cell	Insert I	Delete Forma	t 🛃 Fill 👻	Sort &	Find &											
	lipboard	ra	Font	6		Alignm	nent	6	Number	5	natting la	Die			Styles						Cells		Editing	Select											^
A2	+	$\times \checkmark$	$f_x$																																v
4 B	Blobal Variable	s		D	E	F Personnel C	G	н	Shipbor	urd Tech Ops -	K Baseline	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S Shoreside Teo	T sh Ops via Te	U	V ions Network	W	х	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC AD	AE	AF	AG AH	Al	AJ	-
5	Financial Multip Financia	pliers al interest rate (used fo	x NPV)	% 5.0%		Role	ion - per	Dn-Station	Site Mission Acti Mobilization	Demobilization	# Shipboard Personnel	Full at-sea daily \$1 person	On-Station	horeside Remot Mobilization	e Mission Activiti Demobilization	es Fransit+non-wo	in # personnel	base day rate	Per Incident hourly rate e	st. incident hrs	hrs/day.cap	# personnel	Travel Costs	base day rate	Per Mission hourly rate	std workday	est. actual hrs	hrs/day.cap							
7 Annua 8	al operating cost/ber Annual Sate	n (de)escalator (non-s allite bandwidth (de)es	scalator	2.0%			Personnel - 'A' Personnel - 'B'	V Yes Ves	Ves Yes	Ves Ves	1 people	100 i dag	Ves No	Ves Ves	No No	No No						1 people 1 people	Vice Ves	275 i day 550 i day		4 hrsiday 8 hrsiday									
9	atellite Bandw	/idth - Fleetwide					Personnel - 'C' Personnel - 'D'	Yes Yes	Ves	Yes Yes			Yes	No No	No No	No No							No No												
11	Fleetwide Ship I Annual	Days Fleetwide C-band shi	ip days	days/year 1607 days/yr			Personnel - 'E'	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			Yes Yes	□ No	□ No	O No							No												
13 14	Annual F Fleetwide Bandy	Fleetwide Ku-band shi width	ip days	750 days i yr MHz		Satellite Ba	ndwidth	Satellite	Shipboa Satellite	Annual	Baseline Annual	Bandwidth	Shore Satellite	side Tech Op Satellite	Annual	Munications N Annual	Bandwidth																		
15 16	Annual contracted Annual cor	C-band bandwidth/ fr ntracted Ku-band ban	ootprint ndwidth	19 MHz 18 MHz			5	Spectral Efficient	C-banc	Satellite Days 33 days / yr	Missions 1 missions / yr	Mbits/sec / ship 2 Mbits/sec	peotral Efficient	Footprint C-banc	Satellite Days 33 days / yr	Missions 1 missions / s	Mbita/sec/ship x 5 Mbita/sec	2																	
18	nnual Ship Da	ys and Missions						1. (MbioMHz 💌	Kurband	d 77 days/yr	yr 3 missions i yr 2 Mbitalsec			Ku-band 77 days/yr 3 mis			r 5 Mbitalsec	2																	
20	Typical total missions per year 1 cruises/yr			Capital Equi	ipment	First Vear	9 Einst Year to	Shipboard Tecl	h Ops - Baselin	ne		Shor	eside Tech Op	s via Telecon	munications N	ietwork																			
22 23	Typical m Typical dem	nobilization days per n nobilization days per n	mission	4 days i cruise 2 days i cruise		Capital Des	scription	Upgrade \$	Implement	Upgrade Cycle	Annual (delescalator %	Tra #Personnel	ining \$1 person	Initial Capital \$	Upgrade Cycle	Annual (delescalator 2	Tra #Personnel	ining \$1 person																	
24 25	Typical transit + no Short-Transit M	on-working days per r lissions - Ku-band	mission	9 days I cruise		Capita Capita	al Equipment 'A' al Equipment 'B'							\$ 22,000	5 years	(5.0%	) 1 people	\$ 1,500																	
26 27	T). T	ypical total missions p Typical total days per n	oer year mission	2 cruises / yr 22 days / cruise		Capita Capita	al Equipment 'C' al Equipment 'D'																												
28 29	Typical m Typical dem	nobilization days per n nobilization days per n	mission	4 days i cruise 2 days i cruise		Capite	al Equipment 'E'																												
30 31	Typical transit + no RSN Missions -	on-working days per n Ku-band	mission	4 days I cruise		(non-satellite)	Services - Co	Annual Fee	Contract Term	Annual Fee	Contract Term	1																							
33	Turnical re	ypical total days per n poblication days per n	mission (	39 days i cruise		Service Des	Service 'A'	,	years	,	years																								
35 36	Typical dem Typical transit + no	nobilization days per n	mission	2 days i cruise 9 days i cruise			Service 'C' Service 'D'																												
37 38 1	ravel Costs		Sh:	Dersonimission			Service 'E'																												
39 40	Travel c	osts per person, per r	mission	1,000/person		Quantifiable Benefits /	e Annual	Benefit/Disadv																											
41 42	mplementation Ye	Timeline ears delayed impleme	entation	years 1years		Description Benefit / (E	Disadvantage) "A"	\$isr																											
44 45	Systems Integra Systems	ation Integration as a % of	CapE×	× 18%		Benefit/(	Disadvantage) "C" Disadvantage) "D"																												
46 47						Benefit/(E Benefit/(C	Disadvantage) "E" Disadvantage) "F"																												
48 49						Benefit I (C	Disadvantage) 'G'																												
51 52 Sum	mary Results		_	Vear 1	Year 2	Year 3	Your 4	Year 5	Year 6	Vear 7	Year 8	Year 9	Vear 10	Year II	Vear 12	Year 13	Year M	Vear 15	Year 16	Vear 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Vear 23	Year 74								
53 54 Shi	inbeard Technica	al Operations - Ba	seline Co	ata	TOUL	TOULD	1 rear 4	Tour 5	reard	, rearr	, rear o	Tears	rea lo	rear m	, row at	100110	Teal IV	T Curio	Tear to	rear n	Tear to	Tear is	Tour Lo	TOWER	TOUT LL	rea zo	100124								
55 56	Annual Cumulative	CapEx + Shipboard I CapEx + Shipboard I	OpE×\$ OpE×\$		125,177 125,177	127,496 252,672	129,959 382,531	132,266 514,797	134,719 649,516	137,218 786,734	139,764 926,497	142,357 1,068,855	145,000	147,693 1,361,547	150,436	153,23	1 156,078	159,980	161,936 2,142,207	164,947 2,307,155	168,016 2,475,171	171,143 2,646,313	174,328 2,820,641	177,574 2,998,215	190,991 3,179,096	184,250 3,363,347	187,684 3,551,030								
57	NPVI	Cumulative CapEx + I	DpE×\$	0	119,216	234.858	347,035	455,851	561,406	663,800	763,128	859,481	952,949	1.043.620	1,131,576	1,216.90	1 1,299,672	1,379,968	1,457,862	1533,426	1606.731	1,677,844	1746.832	1,813,757	1,878,683	1941669	2.002.774								
59 Sb	Annual	al Operations Cost   CapEx + Shoreside	a OpE×\$		150,733	126,516	128.601	130,720	132,875	156,206	137,295	139.561	1014 212	144,207	163.768	1701.00	1 151,473	153.978	156.524	173,248	161,747	164,424	167,147	169,916	184,541	175,594	178,506								
62	NPV Cumulative	CapEx + Shoreside I	OpE×\$	0	143,556	258,310	369,400	476,944	581,055	697,619	795,192	889,652	981,099	1,069,629	1,165,381	1,248,356	1,328,685	1,406,454	1,481,745	1,561,112	1631,682	1,708,004	1,766,149	1,830,189	1,896,428	1,956,455	2,014,572								
64 <b>Qu</b> 65	antifiable Benefi Annual Q	its / Disadvantages Juantifiable Benefit / D	a Nisadv \$																																
66 D. 67 NPV C	imulative Annual D Jumulative Annual D	luantifiable Benefit / D Quantifiable Benefit D	isadv \$ Svbasi	0			. 0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0		0	(	0 0	0	0	-	0	0		0	0		0								
68 69 <b>Eo</b>	st / Benefit Analy	ises			(16 557)	070	1.050	1840	1042	170 000	2.400	2.202	2.520	2.400	182 222	1.200	4.006	E 002	E 411	(0.200	0.000	0.330	7 404	7.050	(2000)	0.080	0.170								
71 Net C	et Annual Benefit (C umulative Benefit (C umulative Benefit (C	Cost) of Shoreside Te Cost) of Shoreside Te	ch Ops ch Ops		(25,557) (25,557)	(24,578)	) (23,320)	(21,774)	(19,930)	(10,503) (38,919) (33,818)	(36,450)	(33,653	(30,518)	(27,032)	(40,364)	(36,144	() (31,539) () (29,031	(26,537)	(21,126)	(29,427)	(23,158)	(16,439)	(9,258)	(1,600)	(5,260)	3,396	12,573								
73 74 <b>Ca</b>	culated Breakev	en Berth Value																	(21)110			(	1.00.02												
75 76 77		Value per Berth/p	per Day		217	(8)	) (11)	[13]	(16	161	(21)	[24	(27)	(30)	113	(36	5) (39)	(42)	(46)	70	(53)	(57)	(61)	(65)	31	(73)	(78)	-							
78 Cost	/ Benefit Calci ial Capital Equir	ulations	iodic Beir	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Year 21	Year 22	Year 23	Year 24								
81 <b>1</b> 82	hipboard Tech (	Ops - Baseline grade Capital Equipri	ment 'A'																																
83 94	Up Up	igrade Capital Equipri grade Capital Equipri	ment 'B'	-			:											-	:							:									
85	Up Up	grade Capital Equipn grade Capital Equipn	ment 'D'	-																				-											
of   	Read	Me Data	Templa	ite   1 Jasc	nDataPro	cessor 2	JasonData	Processor-c	ontractor	3 Alvin w	Data Ashor	re   4 Jaso	on leave eng	ashore	5 JasonDa	taProcesso	r w monitor												1	4					F
READY	<u> </u>				0	<b>—</b> –		-	<b>v</b> n <b>*</b>	i																							12:3	2 PM	75%
	U Type he	ere to search			Ŷ			a l	<u>~</u> 11	<u>_</u>																							<sup>니까))</sup> 6/1/	2017	1

DeSSC June 17





- Scenarios
  - Some scenarios, such as *Jason* Data Processor, could conceivably pay for themselves after several years
  - More analysis necessary before we drink the Kool-Aid
  - "Value of Berth" analysis performed—what would a berth have to be worth to break even?
  - Non-quantifiable benefits
    - Additional processing
    - Productivity gains/tools?
    - Value of shoreside monitoring?
- Challenges
  - Independent operation of vessels/infrastructure
  - Infrastructure security
    - Address by cloud computing?