Imaging the Lithosphere-Asthenosphere System in the central Pacific: the NoMelt experiment

J. Gaherty, P. Lin, G. Jin, *LDEO* D. Lizarralde, J. Collins, R. Evans, H. Mark, E. Sarafian *WHOI* G. Hirth, *Brown Univ*



Langseth Community Meeting, San Francisco, Dec 2015

Motivation

- Seismic observations from the ocean basins are inconsistent with our best theoretical models of oceanic upper mantle structure in two ways:
 - The Lithosphere-Asthenosphere boundary (LAB) is too shallow, and too sharp, to be thermally triggered
 - The velocity gradients in the shallow mantle are the wrong sign
- Explain with combination of temperature, composition (bulk and volatile), fabric, and physical state.
- Comprehensive, focused observations required to unravel these processes.

NoMelt Experiment 2011-2013



NoMelt

Characterize the stable oceanic lithosphere-asthenosphere system



- 70 Ma average seafloor age
- Minimal evidence of postridge volcanism
- 600x400 km Study Region
- Short-period OBS array Mark et al., DI33A-4286
- Magnetotelluric MT array Evans et al., DI24A-02
- Broad-band OBS array
 - > * 5 missing
 - * 6 low S/N
 - * 16 high S/N

Lin et al., DI22A-01

NoMelt Experiment 2011-2013











•600 km line in fossil spreading direction

- ≻20 km instrument spacing
- ➢Spans dense BBOBS array
- •200 km line perpendicular to spreading
- •75 km radius semicircle
- •Shot twice on distance at 600 m interval (stack, cut PSN)
- •Low-fold MCS for sediment, basement, Moho contraints



Receiver gather for one OBS Range -280km to 320 km Reduction velocity 8.5 km/s Stack of two shots







Basic character of 6 Line 1 instruments
Fast (8.5 km/s) sub-moho velocities
Positive velocity gradient
Significant variations in refraction amplitude
Significant reflectivity in mantle
Extremely high velocities at large offsets suggest dipping structure



Basic character of 6 Line 1 instruments
Fast (8.5 km/s) sub-moho velocities
Positive velocity gradient
Significant variations in refraction amplitude
Significant reflectivity in mantle
Extremely high velocities at large offsets suggest dipping structure





_146

-145

- •Strong positive gradient in spreading direction
- •Flatter gradient perpendicular to spreading
- •Constraint is in upper 10 km of mantle

NoMelt Broadband 2011-2012





Phase Velocity from EQ Rayleigh Waves



- Using surface-wave dispersion to characterize Vs structure & its seismic anisotropy in upper 250 km beneath the array
- •Accurate apparent phase velocity from cross-correlation between nearby stations. [ASWMS, Jin and Gaherty, 2014]

Phase Velocity Dispersion





Cross-correlation Waveforms 10-40 s 0 100 20 Distance (km) 300 400 500 600 L -600 -400 -200 0 200 400 600 Lag time (s) **Cross-spectrum Fitting** 0.1 0.05 0 0 -0.05 0.05 -0.1 L 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.09 0.1 Frequency (Hz) Phase velocity (km/s) 4.5 4 3.5 2.5 2 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Period (s)



Phase Velocity & Azimuthal Variations from Ambient Noise

Phase Velocity & Azimuthal Anisotropy





Anisotropic Shear Wavespeed Model

- High Vs lid
- No strong gradient
- No need for melt

- Parallel to fossil spreading direction
- Dominated by lithospheric signal

Lin et al., DI22A-01

Electrical Conductivity



Evans et al., DI24A-02

- Average model from four MT instruments
 - Very conductive shallow layer
 - 70-km-thick dry lithosphere
 - damp asthenosphere (5-800 ppm)
- Melt-rich layer at base of lid allowed but not preferred:
 - <0.5% silicate melt</p>
 - <0.006% carbonitite melt</p>
- Minimal need for anisotropy in the asthenosphere

Take Home Messages

Langseth and OBS are great tools for investigating the mantle The mantle lithosphere is important!

extremely strong anisotropy

anomalous positive velocity gradients are common, with steep positive increase in spreading direction

Anisotropy in asthenosphere is weak in seismic and MT data Little need for melt in the normal oceanic asthenosphere

Acknowledgements:

National Science Foundation

Crews of the R/V Marcus G. Langseth R/V Roger Revelle

Seismic Anisotropy in Oceanic Lithosphere



What we know

Scale	Anisotropy
Hand	9-10% dVp
	$7-8\% \mathrm{d}V_p$
Outcrop	$3-8\% \mathrm{d}V_p$
	$2-7\% \mathrm{d}V_p$
100 km	$3-8\% \mathrm{d}V_p$
1000 km	4-6% dVs
	2% dR

Sample type Aggregates (olivine only) Aggregates (whole rock) Ophiolites (olivine only) Ophiolites (whole rock) *Pn* Velocities Love-Rayl Discrepancy Rayleigh wave velocities

Implications for MOR mantle flow:

Corner-flow-induced simple shear Sub-horizontal shear plane Largely 2D, parallel to spreading Strain in dislocation regime Strain of order 1 or greater

But what about:

Spreading-rate dependence? Three-dimensional flow due to along-axis transport diapiric upwelling plume-ridge interaction ridge segmentation

Figure from Hammond and Toomey, 2001.

Seismic observations in ocean basins

2) lid gradients too strongly positive

Before 132 Ma: ~30 mm/yr Spreading Rate



At 132 Ma: Abrupt Decrease in Spreading Rate





Efficiency of melt **extraction** controls gradient: retained gabbro

- Approximate balance between missing crust and sub-Moho gabbro suggests that production unchanged
- Consistent with geochemistry observed at slow spreading rates
- Consistent with observation of retained gabbros in oceanic peridotites (Cannat, Leg 209, Warren, etc).

Lizarralde, Gaherty, Collins, Hirth, and Kim, *Nature*, 2004.



- *P*-wave anisotropy of $3.4 \pm 0.5\%$
- Fast direction ~ parallel to fossil spreading
- Slightly non-orthorhombic structure
- Suggests corner flow deformation in peridotite, but with half the magnitude of that observed at fast spreading
- Consistent with minimal deformation fabric in leg 209 peridotites (Kelemen et al., 2004).

Gaherty, Lizarralde, Collins, Hirth, and Kim, EPSL, 2004.



Gaherty, Lizarralde, Collins, Hirth, and Kim, EPSL, 2004.

