# Science Highlights from ALEUT (Alaska Langseth Experiment to Understand the megaThrust) MGL1110

Donna J. Shillington, Mladen Nedimović, Anne Bécel, Harold Kuehn, Spahr C. Webb, MGL1110 Shipboard Science Party

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, New York, US, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada



Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Columbia University | Earth Institute

# Science objectives of ALEUT

- What controls the updip and downdip limits of the locked zone on the megathrust?
- What causes along-strike variability in coupling in subduction zones?
- Are there splay faults or other structures that accommodate deformation during or between great earthquakes?



Picture modified from Oleskevich et al., 1999

### Variations in earthquake rupture history along the Alaska-Aleutian subduction zone



Courtesy of Peter Haeussler. Rupture patches : Davies et al., 1981

## Alaska Langseth Experiment to Understand the megaThrust

# Offshore program:

- 38-day cruise on the R/V Langseth (July-August 2011)
- 3700 km of MCS profiles
- 2 wide-angle seismic profiles



Bathymetry/elevation (m)

# Data acquisition

- Source: full 6600 cu in air gun array towed at 12 m
- MCS data acquired on two 8-km-long seismic streamers towed at 9 & 12 m
- Wide-angle reflection/refraction data acquired on OBS offshore and small array of shortperiod and



broachand seismometer Sg cable ('streamer') with pressure sensors



R/V Langer Shore



- Brought 5 undergraduates to sea for the MCS portion of the cruise
- Part of a course taught by Maya Tolstoy and Donna Shillington: Seagoing Experience in Earth Science

### Shallow water and close to shore



# Whales





# What have we seen so far?

- The hint of downdip variations in reflectivity of the megathrust
- Deeply routed structures in the overriding plate – ancient and/or active?
- Pronounced along-strike variations in bending-related faulting, sediment thickness (and hydration?)
- Intriguing features in old fast-spreading oceanic crust
- Stuck melt beneath an abandoned triple junction?

#### MCS Line across the Shumagin gap





PACIFIC PLATE

A onshore deployment, summer 201 -4000 0 20 km Bathymetry/elevation (m)





Broad reflection band (1.5s twt) Thin sharp reflection (0.3s twt)

#### Alaska subduction Zone

#### Cascadia subduction Zone



# 2. Deeply rooted structures in the overriding plate: ancient and/or active?



# 3. Along-strike variations in style and amount of bending faulting and sediment thickness







Control on bendingrelated faulting by fabric of incoming plate?



-200 -100 0 100 200 Mag (nT)



# 4. Structure of old, fast-spreading oceanic c

 Features observed in oceanic plate seaward of bending

Two-way travel time (s)

- Dipping reflections in lower crust. Dip north at ~15-35°
- Appear to 'disappear' in bending region. Rotated to steeper angles and/or reactivated as





way travel time (s

### Similar features seen in other old Pacific oceanic



Example from ~135-125 Ma oceanic crust in the NW Pacific Reston et al., 1999

Published explanations:

- Lithological layering from emplacement
- Shear zones from emplacement or caused by basal shear due to active upwelling

Others?

- Shear zones formed in mushy zone, including melt segregation
- Shear zones later utilized off-axis by bydrothormal circulation?



Reston et al., 1999

# 5. Trapped, frozen melt beneath a relic triple junction?



Deep reflections observed up to ~2 s twtt below the Moho where profile crosses a failed ridge-ridge-ridge triple junction (Lonsdale, 1988)

travel time

10

Frozen, retained melt?



#### Acknowledgements:

- The Captain, Crew, and technical staff of the R/V *Marcus G. Langseth*
- Team Diebold & Maya Tolstoy
- John Diebold
- Many other people who assisted us in planning for this cruise: Marine Office, Sean Gulick, others.
- Supported by the National Science Foundation

## **Questions?**