



# Post Cruise Assessment Report – Subcommittee Update

## Subcommittee Members:

- Wilf Gardner/TAMU- PCAR Chair
- Joe Malbrough- LUMCON
- Daryl Swensen-OSU
- Jon Alberts- UNOLS (minutes)
  - PCAR overview- NOT the “enforcer”
  - Track and improve how the process is functioning



# Post Cruise Assessment Report – Subcommittee Update

- The new online form **should be up soon???**
  - **[http://strs.unols.org/members/diu\\_pca\\_edit.aspx](http://strs.unols.org/members/diu_pca_edit.aspx)**
  - Major change - intervals in success of the planned objectives of the cruise:  
\_\_ 91-100% \_\_ 81-90% \_\_ 71-80% \_\_ 61-70% \_\_ <60% \_\_ N/A
  - Definition for “EXCELLENT to POOR”
    - *Excellent: Data quality outstanding, crew and techs performed at a superior level.*
    - *Very Good: Crew and techs went out of their way to assist, all equipment operational, and calibrations current.*
    - *Good: Crew and techs were mostly cooperative and helpful.*
    - *Fair: No major conflicts between scientists and crew/techs, mediocre support.*
    - *Poor: Crew and or techs poorly trained or uncooperative, ship poorly outfitted.*



# Post Cruise Assessment Report – Subcommittee Update

- Words added to question #8 “Please provide suggestions or comments regarding the vessel and its installed equipment **and potential enhancements.**”
- Reports not to be publicly posted on the ships or in the port office. Treat with some level of confidence so as to encourage honest responses.
- For Captains and Marine Techs, PCAR system provides legitimate opportunity to provide input. Preamble of report says “We do not require that you rate yourself while filling out this form, but allow you the opportunity to make comments if you wish.”